

March 2016

# **To Board or Not to Board? Comparing Nutrition, Health and Education Outcomes Between Boarding and Non-Boarding Students in Rural China**

**Aiqin Wang, Yaojiang Shi, Ai Yue, Renfu Lou and Alexis Medina**

## **Abstract**

The debate over whether boarding school is beneficial for students still exists in both developing and developed countries. In rural China, as a result of a national school merger program that began in 2001, the number of boarding students has increased dramatically. Little research has been done, however, to measure how boarding status may be correlated with nutrition, health, and educational outcomes. In this paper, we compare the outcomes of boarding to those of non-boarding students using a large, aggregate dataset that includes 59 rural counties across 5 provinces in China. We find that for all outcomes boarding students perform worse than non-boarding students. Despite these differences, the absolute levels of all outcomes are low for both boarding and non-boarding students, indicating a need for new policies that will target all rural students regardless of their boarding status.

---

**Working Paper 301**

**March 2016**

**[reap.fsi.stanford.edu](http://reap.fsi.stanford.edu)**



**To board or not to board:  
Comparing nutrition, health and education outcomes between boarding and  
non-boarding students in rural China**

**Abstract:** The debate over whether boarding school is beneficial for students still exists in both developing and developed countries. In rural China, as a result of a national school merger program that begun in 2001, the number of boarding students has increased dramatically. Little research has been done, however, to measure how boarding status may be correlated with nutrition, health, and educational outcomes. In this paper, we compare the outcomes of boarding to those of non-boarding students using a large, aggregate dataset that includes 59 rural counties across 5 provinces in China. We find that for all outcomes boarding students perform worse than non-boarding students. Despite these differences, the absolute levels of all outcomes are low for both boarding and non-boarding students, indicating a need for new policies that will target all rural students regardless of their boarding status.

**Key words:** Boarders; Education; Health; Nutrition; Rural China

**JEL codes:** I10; I20; Q00

**To board or not to board:  
Comparing nutrition, health and education outcomes between boarding and  
non-boarding students in rural China**

**Introduction**

Since the early 2000s one of the most prominent efforts of China's Ministry of Education (MOE) has been the implementation of the rural primary school Merger Program (Luo et al., 2009). In recent years, enrollments in village-level rural primary schools have declined sharply (National Bureau of Statistics, 2006). In response, the Merger Program began to close down smaller schools in more remote villages and merge them with larger "central" schools. The idea was that with fewer schools, the quality of the facilities and teaching staff could be raised more effectively by concentrating investments. Nationwide, the number of primary schools in rural China fell by nearly 50 percent from 2001 to 2010 (National Bureau of Statistics, 2013; Yuan and Li, 2012). Consequently, many rural students are no longer able to attend school in their home villages and instead have to room and board at schools far away from home. Since the implementation of the primary school Merger Program, the number of boarding students has increased rapidly. By 2008 more than 30 million primary and junior high school students were boarders, both living and eating away from home (MOE, 2008).

Given this rapid growth in the number of rural boarding students, it is important to ask: what is the link between boarding and student wellbeing? There are

arguments on both sides. On the one hand, boarders are exposed to a collective learning and living environment through boarding school, which may afford boarding students more time with and better access to school facilities such as libraries and gymnasiums relative to non-boarders (Shu and Tong, 2015; Behaghel et al., 2015; Adetunj, 2007). Boarding students may also have more opportunities to communicate with and learn from their classmates and teachers (Fauziyah, 2012; Martin et al., 2014). In addition, boarding schools have more chances to model positive social norms than do non-boarding schools, which in turn may position them to better address emotional issues and correct student misbehavior. For example, teachers and roommates may be able to teach and / or model better lifestyle choices to disadvantaged and problem students, thereby helping them adapt to the rigors of academic life (Bronfenbrenner, 1970; Adams, 1995; Papworth, 2014; Xu et al., 2000).

On the other hand, boarding schools may also have negative effects on students. Boarding students are removed from a safe family environment, and may miss the care and support of their parents (Cookson, 2009). Combined with weak support networks, this may lead to social isolation (Ak and Sayil, 2006). Boarding students may also adopt negative behaviors such as drinking, smoking, or fighting due to their constant close proximity to problem students or low achievers (Henderson et al., 1998; Zhu et al., 2008; Zhang et al., 2014). Boarding schools in remote rural regions may also have worse living conditions, such as under-equipped dormitories (Luo et al., 2009; Pang and Han,

2005; Lu, 2009; Wang and Li, 2009), low nutritional composition of dining hall food (Luo et al., 2009), or fewer reading materials (Growing home, 2015). Finally, boarding students may lack close adult supervision (Asare, 2015). For example, high student-teacher ratios may mean that the teachers have insufficient time and effective methods to address students' problem behaviors (Moswela, 2006; Zai and Xuan, 2011; Yue et al., 2014).

Quantitative evidence on the link between boarding and student outcomes in developing settings is mixed. Some studies find better academic performance and reading outcomes at boarding schools compared with non-boarding schools (Adetunji & Oladeji, 2007; Fauziyah, 2012). Others find that the nutritional status of boarders is equally as good as that of non-boarders (Intiful et al., 2013; Bolajoko et al., 2014; Asare, 2015).

However, a majority of existing studies find that boarding is associated with worse educational, behavioral, and nutritional outcomes in developing countries. Data from Turkey and Africa show lower academic achievement among boarders in grades 5-9 than among non-boarders in the same grades (Ak and Sayil, 2006; Bozdogan et al., 2014). Boarders have also been shown to have more behavioral problems—such as aggressiveness, coercion, use of physical force, and alcohol dependency—than non-boarders (Ak and Sayil, 2006; Moswela, 2006; Agmon et al., 2015; Henderson et

al., 1998). Researchers have also found boarders to have more mental health problems than non-boarders (Murfin, 1977; Ak and Sayil, 2006; Agmon et al., 2015).

Within China, the evidence is also mixed. Some studies find that boarding is linked with higher word recognition and numerical skills (Shu and Tong, 2015) and lower mental health problems (Ma & Jin, 2009). Other studies find that boarders have a higher probability of being sick (Shu and Tong, 2015), more aggressive and violent behaviors (Pang and Han, 2005; Zhao, 2011), and more problems with substance abuse (alcohol and cigarettes—Zhu et al., 2008).

Unfortunately, nearly all of the papers cited above—both in China and in other developing countries—suffer from two main methodological weaknesses. First, their sample sizes are all quite small, generally fewer than 10 boarding schools. Second, most studies only consider a limited number of student outcomes. As a result, these papers can provide only limited understanding of the overall boarding experiences.

The goal of this paper is to describe a wide range of health, nutrition, education, and behavioral outcomes among both boarding and non-boarding students using a comprehensive and empirically rigorous methodology. In total, we will be measuring 11 outcomes among a population of 37,181 students in rural China. This study represents the biggest and most comprehensive study of boarders of which we are aware to date.

## **Data and Methods**

## *Data*

The data used for this study are aggregated from seven different school-level surveys that the authors and their collaborators conducted in the rural areas of five provinces in China from 2008 to 2013. Table 1 shows the provinces, years, sample sizes and primary outcomes of the surveys. The total sample includes 37,252 children aged 8 to 15 years. These sample provinces are located in China's west region, which is one of the nation's poorest places (Gerard, 2015). For the interested reader, more information is available through Stanford University's website (<http://reap.stanford.edu/docs/628/>).

**Table 1. Description of surveys and datasets**

(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)
Project number	Province	Year	Sample size	Primary outcome variables
1	Shaanxi	2008	4,058	BMI, Math scores, Mental health, Hemoglobin
2	Ningxia, Qinghai	2009	7,484	Math scores, Mental health, Hemoglobin
3	Gansu	2010	2,650	Math scores, Mental health, Self-esteem, Hemoglobin
4	Ningxia	2011	900	Math scores, Mental health, Self-esteem, Hemoglobin
5	Shaanxi, Ningxia	2011	2,976	Math scores, Self-esteem, Hemoglobin
6	Gansu, Qinghai, Shaanxi	2011	16,938	Math scores, Chinese language scores, Hemoglobin
7	Guizhou	2013	2,175	WAZ, HAZ, BMI, STH, Working memory, Processing speed, Hemoglobin
Total			37,181	

Source: 7 different surveys that the authors and collaborators conducted in rural areas of five of China's provinces.

### *Sample selection*

The data from the 7 surveys were all based on random sampling strategies that were identical across studies. First, we obtained a list of all the counties in each of the 5 provinces. Second, we randomly selected study counties from those meeting our study criteria. Third, using official records, we created a list of all primary (and/or secondary) schools in the sample counties. Fourth, we used official records and telephone calls to school principals to identify all schools with a set of fixed characteristics (e.g., all schools of a certain size, etc.). Fifth, we randomly selected schools and created our sampling frame. Finally, within each of the randomly selected schools we randomly selected students (or classes of students) for inclusion in the studies. The exact sampling protocols are described in the papers from which the source data come; these papers have been published elsewhere and interested readers are encouraged to refer to those papers for more details (Yue et al., 2014; Mo et al., 2013; Mo et al., 2012; Chen et al., 2014; Luo et al., 2009; Lai et al., 2013; Luo et al., 2010; Luo et al., 2011).

### *Data Collection and Outcome Measures*

The dataset formed from these individual surveys can be considered a mega-dataset with successive waves of observations on students from rural schools. All of the surveys included in this study followed uniform data collection protocol and employed the same set of experienced enumeration team leaders and supervisors. The enumerators were undergraduate and graduate students from local universities who

were recruited from academic departments relevant to the survey material. All enumerators underwent a comprehensive, multi-day training that lasted from 2-7 days, depending on the complexity of the survey and testing instruments. All of the survey enumerators were blind to children's boarding status when outcomes were measured.

In total, the dataset includes information on almost 500 schools in 59 counties from the five provinces. During the survey we collected data on the basic demographic information of students, including whether the student is a boarder. As outcome variables, we collected information on the education, nutrition and health conditions of the students. We have data on weight-for-age z-scores (WAZ), height-for-age z-scores (HAZ), Body Mass Index (BMI), anemia prevalence, rates of infection with soil-transmitted helminths (STH), mental health and self-esteem. We collected four measures of academic performance: test scores from standardized tests of math and Chinese language; working memory; and cognitive processing speed. The exact variable definitions are shown in Table 2.

**Table 2. Variable definitions**

(1)	(2)
Variable	Descriptions
WAZ	Weight-for-age z-score
HAZ	Height-for-age z-score
BMI	Body Mass Index, a person's weight in kilograms divided by the square of height in meters
Anemia rate	Hb<115 g/L, if age>=9 & <=11 (1=yes, 0=no); Hb<120g/L, if age>=12 & <=14 (1=yes, 0=no)
STH infection	Child is infected with any of the three types of STH: Ascaris, Hookworm, or Whipworm (1=yes, 0=no)
Standardized Math test score	% of questions answered correctly on standardized math test
Standardized Chinese test score	% of questions answered correctly on standardized math test
Working memory index (WMI)	Standardized score on the working memory module of the WISC-IV
Processing speed index (PSI)	Standardized score on the processing speed module of the WISC-IV
MHT	Mental health test
Self-esteem score	Standardized score on Rosenberg Self-esteem Scales I & II (SES)

Source: Authors' analyses of 7 different surveys that the authors and collaborators conducted in rural areas of five of China's provinces.

Children's height and weight were measured and recorded by trained nurses from local provincial hospitals. The children were measured in light clothing without shoes. Body weight was measured with a calibrated electronic scale and body height was measured using a standard tape measure. Weight-for-age z-scores (WAZ) were calculated using a SAS program for the 2000 CDC growth chart for children aged 0-20 years (WHO, 2009).<sup>1</sup> Physical indicators of height and weight were used to construct height-for-age z-scores (HAZ) and Body Mass index (BMI) using WHO AnthroPlus, a software application of the WHO Reference 2007 for children aged 5-19 years that is used to monitor the growth of school-aged children and adolescents. We followed internationally recognized cutoffs to consider children whose HAZ, BMI or WAZ fall more than two standard deviations below the international mean to be stunted, malnourished or underweight, respectively (WHO, 2006).

Hemoglobin concentrations were measured onsite using a Hemocue Hb 201+ fingerprick system. The WHO recommends an anemia cutoff of 115 g/L for children aged 9 to 11 years and 120 g/L for those aged 12 to 14 years. STH infection was assessed using the Kato-Katz smear test for *Ascaris lumbricoides* (*Ascaris*), *Trichuris trichuria* (Whipworm), and *Ancylostoma duodenale* or *Necator americanus* (Hookworm) (CDC, 2008). Stool samples were considered positive for infection if the test on the same day showed signs of infection with one or more types of STH.

---

<sup>1</sup> US Center for Disease Control and Prevention, 2002, A SAS Program for 2000 CDC Growth Charts (0 to <20 years old), <http://www.cdc.gov/nccdphp/dnpao/growthcharts/resources/sas.htm>.

Students also were given a standardized math test and a standardized Chinese language test. Our enumeration team carefully proctored the test in order to minimize cheating, and strictly enforced the time limits. Scores on both standardized tests were normalized (with mean zero and standard deviation equal to one) and used as two of our measures of student academic performance.

We used a psychological test of well-being—the Mental Health Test (MHT)—to measure children’s mental health. The test is a variation of the children’s Manifest Anxiety Scale (CMAS), a scale that has been widely used in the United States and other developed countries for more than a decade as a screening and clinical tool. Professor Zhou Bucheng of East China Normal University (1991) developed the MHT scale used in this study. Researchers have used this test extensively across China to measure the mental health of grade school students in urban contexts. The purpose of the test is to measure students’ anxiety levels. The test is scored out 90 points, where a lower score corresponds to lower anxiety. The test results can be broken down into eight subcategories, each of which represents a specific aspect of anxiety: learning anxiety, personal anxiety, loneliness anxiety, self-blaming tendency, sensitivity tendency, body anxiety, phobia anxiety, and impulsive tendency. A score of >8 on any subpart is considered clinically high and indicates a need for treatment. A total score of 65 or higher indicates high risk for mental health problems and urgent need for professional intervention.

Self-esteem was assessed using the Rosenberg Self-esteem Scales I & II (SES) created by Rosenberg (1965). The SES consists of 10 statements, each of which is scored as a Likert scale.

Two additional cognitive measures were generated using the Wechsler Intelligence Scale for Children, Fourth Edition (WISC-IV): working memory and processing speed (Wechsler, 2003). The working memory index (WMI) was assessed through two core subtests: the Digit Span subtest and the Letter Number Sequencing subtest. The Processing Speed Index (PSI) was also assessed through two core subtests: the Coding subtest and the Symbol Search subtest. Raw scores obtained from these subtests were converted to age-scaled index scores using tables of norms from the official WISC-IV administration and scoring manual for China.

#### *Statistical Approach*

Calculations of WAZ, HAZ, BMI, and standardized test scores of working memory, processing speed, self-esteem, mental health, mathematics and Chinese are described as means of the standardized score of each sample. Anemia rates and STH infection rates are presented as percentages. A Chi-squared test was used to compare differences in percentage rates between boarders and non-boarders (Table 4).

#### *Ethical approval*

All of these individual studies were approved by relevant Chinese authorities and the Stanford University Institutional Review Board (IRB) . The caregivers of all

participants provided informed oral consent, and the children themselves provided oral assent prior to the start of any study activities.

## **Results**

Overall, a total of 17 percent of students were boarders; the remaining 83 percent of students were non-boarders (Appendix Table 1). With the exception of Ningxia (where the proportion of boarders is relatively low, at 7%), the proportion of boarders is fairly consistent across provinces, at around 25 percent.

Health and nutrition indicators for the full sample are poor (Table 3). The WAZ and HAZ of the sample children both are -1.39, indicating lower than ideal heights and weights for all children. The average BMI is 16.16. Around one fifth of all children are anemic (19.27%), and nearly half (41.87%) are infected with STHs.

**Table 3. Outcomes for the average children in rural China**

<b>(1)</b> <b>Outcome</b> <b>number</b>	<b>(2)</b> <b>Outcomes</b>	<b>(3)</b> <b>Sample size</b>	<b>(4)</b> <b>Unit</b>	<b>(5)</b> <b>Value</b>
1	WAZ	2,174	Z-score	-1.39
2	HAZ	2,174	Z-score	-1.39
3	BMI	5,800	Kg/cm <sup>2</sup>	16.16
4	Anemia rate	25,954	%	19.27
5	STH infection rate	2,175	%	41.84
6	Working memory	2,175	45-150 points	78.59
7	Processing speed	2,175	45-150 points	86.18
8	MHT <sup>†</sup>	14,635	0-90 points <sup>†</sup>	39.72
9	Self-esteem <sup>‡</sup>	3,550	10-40 points <sup>‡</sup>	25.17

Source: Authors' data.

Note: In this table, we do not include standardized test scores of math and Chinese language scales since these scores are only used to compare students' relative performance.

<sup>†</sup> Higher scores indicate worse mental health.

<sup>‡</sup> Higher scores indicate higher self-esteem

Measures of academic and non-academic outcomes among the full population are also poor. Average scores of working memory and processing speed as measured by the WISC test are 78.59 and 86.18, respectively, well below the internationally scaled mean of 100. The average score on the MHT is 39.72, which is comparable to measures found in previous studies of rural children in China (Ye, 2000; Zhang, 2012; Shen, 2015; Luo, 2012). In addition, the average score on the self-esteem test is 25.17, which is lower than both the global average (30.85—David and Jüri, 2005) and the urban Chinese average (31.19 – Liu, 2012) calculated in previous studies.

In terms of health and nutrition, boarders have poorer outcomes than non-boarders for most of the variables (Table 4, rows 1 to 4). HAZ among boarders is -1.53, compared with -1.34 among non-boarders ( $p < 0.01$ ). WAZ of boarders also is significantly lower among boarders versus non-boarders ( $p < 0.02$ ). Boarders also have significantly higher anemia rates ( $p < 0.01$ ) and significantly higher rates of STH infection ( $p < 0.01$ ) compared with non-boarders. The one exception to this trend is for BMI, where boarders significantly outperform non-boarders (16.22 versus 16.13,  $p < 0.1$ )

**Table 4. Comparison of health, nutrition and educational status of boarders and non-boarders in rural China**

(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)
Outcomes	Sample Size	Units	Boarders	Non-boarders	P-value
<b>Health and Nutrition</b>					
WAZ	2,174	Z-score	-1.48	-1.35	0.02
HAZ	2,174	Z-score	-1.53	-1.34	0.00
BMI	5,800	Kg/cm <sup>2</sup>	16.22	16.13	0.06
Anemia prevalence	25,954	%	24.06	18.34	0.00
STH infection rate	2,175	%	47.36	39.89	0.00
<b>Education</b>					
Standardized math test	24,644	SD <sup>†</sup>	0.01	0.02	0.31
Standardized Chinese test	7,075	SD <sup>†</sup>	-0.06	0.06	0.02
Working memory	2,175	45-150 points	77.31	79.05	0.00
Processing speed	2,175	45-150 points	85.25	86.51	0.05
<b>Mental health</b>					
MHT	14,635	0-90 points	40.52	39.42	0.00
Self-esteem	3,550	10-40 points	24.99	25.18	0.43

Source: Authors' analyses of 7 different surveys that the authors and collaborators conducted in rural areas of five of China's provinces.

Notes:

<sup>†</sup>SD=standard deviation

In terms of educational performance and cognition, boarders underperform relative to non-boarders in terms of all of the outcome variables (Table 4, rows 5 to 8). Non-boarders scored significantly higher than boarders on the standardized Chinese language test ( $p < 0.05$ ). Non-boarders also scored higher than boarders on both the working memory ( $p < 0.01$ ) and processing speed scales ( $p < 0.1$ ). Boarders and non-boarders performed similarly on the standardized math test ( $p = 0.31$ ).

Boarders had slightly poorer mental health outcomes than non-boarders (Table 4, rows 9 and 10). Boarders performed significantly worse than non-boarders on the MHT, both overall and for all MHT subscales (except for impulsive tendency and personal anxiety, Table 5). There was no difference in self-esteem between boarders and non-boarders ( $p = 0.43$ ).

**Table 5. Comparison of categorical breakdown of the MHT score between boarders and non-boarders**

(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)
Outcomes	Mean	Units	Boarders	Non -boarders	P-value
Learning anxiety	8.50	0-15 points	8.60	8.47	0.01
Personal anxiety	4.38	0-10 points	4.43	4.37	0.13
Loneliness anxiety	3.13	0-10 points	3.20	3.10	0.01
Self-Blaming tendency	5.57	0-10 points	5.74	5.50	0.00
Sensitivity tendency	5.10	0-10 points	5.23	5.05	0.00
Body anxiety	5.56	0-15 points	5.66	5.52	0.01
Phobia anxiety	4.69	0-10 points	4.88	4.62	0.00
Impulsive tendency	2.79	0-10 points	2.79	2.79	0.91
Total	39.72	0-90 points	40.52	39.42	0.00

Source: Authors' analyses of 7 different surveys that the authors and collaborators conducted in rural areas of five of China's provinces.

## **Discussion**

The main aim of this study has been to show the link between boarding status and student education, health, and nutrition outcomes in rural China. We find that across all of our outcomes, boarding students perform worse than non-boarding students. Given the large increase in boarding students in rural China over the past several years, these findings raise serious concerns.

This study has a number of strengths. First, our aggregated sample, comprised of seven different datasets, is much larger (>35,000) than that used in any similar studies. This gives the research a high degree of statistical power and considerable external validity—at least in relatively poor regions of rural China. Second, all of the observations were collected using a common sampling strategy by a single research team. The data collection instrument was standardized, as was the enumeration process. Because of this we can compare outcome variables across boarders and non-boarders.

We identify two main limitations to our study. First, given the nature of the sample, we are unable to extrapolate our findings to boarding schools in non-poor or urban areas. Second, although this paper measures differences in education, health and nutrition between boarders and non-boarders, we are unable to identify an exact causal relationship between boarding status and these outcomes.

Despite these limitations, this study makes a significant contribution to the domestic and international literature on the status of boarding students. In China, most existing studies were conducted in a single province or sub-provincial region and only

focus on limited number of outcomes. To our knowledge, ours is the first study of Chinese boarding students that examines multiple outcome variables including health, nutrition and education for a large sample (covering five provinces).

Our results should not be construed to mean that students should not attend boarding school. Indeed, the absolute levels of education, health, and nutrition among all students are still low. In comparison with international standards, children in rural China—both boarders and non-boarders—are shorter and lighter. About 20 percent of students suffer from anemia and over 40 percent are infected with intestinal worms. Other work has documented the poor levels of educational performance among rural children relative to urban children (Wang et al., 2011). Perhaps a more accurate interpretation of the results of this paper is that all children in rural China are vulnerable. They all require extra care, attention and resources.

#### *Policy suggestion*

From a policy perspective, our results point to serious weaknesses in the existing rural school system. Both boarders and non-boarders perform poorly on all of the indicators considered in this study. A handful of NGOs, government departments and research centers have already begun developing initiatives such as training student guidance counselors, building school libraries and training library staff, introducing

more nutritional meals, and launching extracurricular computer assisted learning programs (Luo et al., 2009; Lai et al., 2013; Mo et al., 2012; Yue et al., 2014). These programs all or partly succeeded in improving education, health, and nutrition outcomes among boarders. Based on our findings, we suggest that these special programs be expanded to cover all students in rural China.

## Reference

- Adams, David Wallace, 1995, *Education for Extinction: American Indians and the Boarding School Experience, 1875-1928*, Lawrence, KS: University Press of Kansas.
- Adetunde, A.I. and B. Asare, 2015, "Comparative Performance of Day And Boarding Students In Secondary School Certificate Mathematics Examinations: A Case Study Of Kasena-Nankana And Asuogyaman Districts Of Ghana," *The AYER*, Vol. 4, pp. 52-59.
- Adetunji, A., and B.O. Oladeji, 2007, "Comparative Study of the Reading Habit of Boarding and Day Secondary School Students in Osogbo, Osun State, Nigeria," *Pakistan Journal of Social Science*, Vol. 4, No. 4, pp. 509-512.
- Agmon, Maayan, Zlotnick Cheryl and Anat Finkelstein, 2015, "The relationship between mentoring on healthy behaviors and well-being among Israeli youth in boarding schools: a mixed-methods study," *BMC Pediatrics*, Vol. 15, No. 1, pp. 11.
- Ak, Leyla and Melike Sayil, 2006, "Three different types of elementary school students' school achievements, perceived social support, school attitudes and behavior-adjustment problems," *Educational Sciences: Theory & Practice*, Vol. 6, No. 2, pp. 293-300.
- Behaghel, Luc, Clement de Chaisemartin and Marc Gurgand, 2015, "Ready for boarding?: the effects of a boarding school for disadvantaged students," Warwick economics research papers series (TWERPS) No.1059, University of Warwick, Department of Economics.
- Bolajoko, Opeyemi, G.A. Ogundahunsi, Olaide Folahan, B.A. Odugbemi, and Olanike Alakuro, 2014, "Nutrient Adequacy of Foods Eaten by Students Attending Boarding and Day Secondary Schools in Owo," *Current Research in Nutrition and Food Science Journal*, Vol. 2, No. 2, pp. 84-87.
- Borthwick, Sally, 1983, *Education and Social Change in China*. Stanford, California: Stanford University.
- Bozdoğan, Ayut Emre, Esra Günaydın, & Alperen Okur, 2014, "An Examination of Secondary School Students' Academic Achievement in Science Course and Achievement Scores in Performance Assignments with Regard to Different Variables: A Boarding School Example," *Participatory Educational Research (PER)*, Vol. 1, No. 2, pp. 95-105.

- Bronfenbrenner, Urie, 1970, "Reaction to social pressure from adults versus peers among Soviet day school and boarding school pupils in the perspective of an American sample," *Journal of Personality and Social Psychology*, Vol. 15, pp. 179.
- Chen, Xinxin, Hongmei Yi, Linxiu Zhang, Di Mo, James Chu and Scott Rozelle, 2014, "Do poor students benefit from China's Merger Program? Transfer path and educational performance," *Asia Pacific Journal of Education*, Vol. 34, No. 1, pp. 15-35.
- Chinese Center for Disease Control & Prevention, 2008, Report on the National Survey of Current Status of Major Human Parasitic Diseases in China, Beijing: People's Medical Publishing House (In Chinese).
- Cookson, P. W., Jr., 2009, "Boarding schools," in R. A. Shweder (Ed.), *The child: An encyclopedic companion*, Chicago: University of Chicago Press, pp. 112-114.
- Fauziyah, M. H., 2012, "The English Achievement between Islamic Boarding and Non-boarding Students of the Eighth Grade Students of MTs Ma'ahid Kudus in the Academic Year 2011/2012," Doctoral dissertation, Universitas Muria Kudus.
- Growing home, 2015, The surveys report of Boarding students in rural China.
- Henderson, E., S.J. Kunitz, K.R. Gabriel, A. McCright, & J.E. Levy, 1998, "Boarding and public schools: Navajo educational attainment, conduct disorder, and alcohol dependency," *American Indian and Alaskan Native Mental Health Research*, Vol. 8, pp. 24-45.
- Intiful, F. D., L. Ogyiri, M. Asante, A.A. Mensah, R.K. Steele-Dadzie, & L. Boateng, 2013, "Nutritional status of boarding and non-boarding children in selected schools in the Accra metropolis," *Journal of Biology, Agriculture and Healthcare*, Vol. 3, No. 7, pp. 156-162.
- Lai, Fang, Linxiu Zhang, Xiao Hu, Qinghe Qu, Yaojiang Shi, Yajie Qiao, Matthew Boswell and Scott Rozelle, 2013, "Computer assisted learning as extracurricular tutor? Evidence from a randomised experiment in rural boarding schools in Shaanxi," *Journal of Development Effectiveness*, Vol. 5, No. 2, pp. 208-231.
- Liu, Haiyan, 2014, "Research on the current status and contributing factors of self-esteem of contemporary middle school students", Master's Thesis, Shandong University [In Chinese].
- Lu, Mai, 2009, "Reasons of implementing the nutrition project in rural boarding schools and its effectiveness," China Development Research Foundation Report No.51 [In Chinese].

- Luo Yixue, Study on the association of aggression, self-esteem and cognition among children and adolescent, Master Thesis, Department of Maternal and Child Health of School of Public Health, Tongji Medical College, Huazhong University of Science & Technology, 2012 [In Chinese].
- Luo, Renfu, Linxiu Zhang, Chengfang Liu, et al.. 2011, "Anemia among students of rural China's elementary schools: Prevalence and correlates in Ningxia and Qinghai's poor counties," *Journal of Health, Population, and Nutrition*, Vol. 29, No. 5, pp. 471.
- Luo, Renfu, Max Kleiman-Weiner, Scott Rozelle, et al., 2010, "Anemia in rural China's elementary schools: prevalence and correlates in Shaanxi province's poor counties," *Ecology of Food and Nutrition*, Vol. 49, No. 5, pp. 357-372.
- Luo, Renfu, Yaojiang Shi, Linxiu Zhang, Chengfang Liu, Scott Rozelle, Brian Sharbono, 2009, "Malnutrition in China's rural boarding schools: The case of primary schools in Shaanxi Province," *Asia Pacific Journal of Education*, Vol. 29, No. 4, pp. 481-501.
- Ma, Yajing and Xiaoli Jin, 2010, "The comparable research of mental health state between boarding and non-boarding school—based on one town in the Huaibei city," *Social Work*, 22 [In Chinese].
- Martin, A. J., B. Papworth, P. Ginns, & G.A.D. Liem, 2014, "Boarding School, Academic Motivation and Engagement, and Psychological Well-Being A Large-Scale Investigation," *American Educational Research Journal*, Vol. 51, No. 5, pp. 1007-1049.
- Ministry of Education, 2008, *Educational Statistics Yearbook of China*, Beijing: People's Education Press.
- Mo, Di, Hongmei Yi, Linxiu Zhang, Yaojiang Shi, Scott Rozelle, & Alexis Medina, 2012, "Transfer paths and academic performance: The primary school merger program in China," *International Journal of Educational Development*, Vol. 32, No. 3, pp. 423-431.
- Mo, Di, Linxiu Zhang, Hongmei Yi, Renfu Luo, Scott Rozelle, & Carl Brinton, 2013, "School Dropouts and Conditional Cash Transfers: Evidence from a Randomised Controlled Trial in Rural China's Junior High Schools," *The Journal of Development Studies*, Vol. 49, No. 2, pp. 190-207.
- Moswela, B., 2006, "Boarding schools as perpetrators of students' behavior problems," *Journal of Social Science*, Vol. 13, No. 1, pp. 37-41.

- Murfin, G. D. and N.L. Jamieson, 1977, "Boarding schools: Effects on the mental health of Eskimo adolescents," *American Journal of Psychiatry*, Vol. 134, No. 4, pp. 411.
- National Bureau of Statistics, 2006, *China Statistical Yearbook*, Beijing: China Statistics Press.
- Onis, Mercedes de, Adelheid W. Onyango, Elaine Borghi et al., 2007, "Development of a WHO growth reference for school-aged children and adolescents," *Bulletin of the World Health Organization*, Vol. 85, No. 9, pp. 660-667.
- Pang, Lijuan and Xiaoyu Han, 2005, "The structural adjustment of primary schools and junior high schools in rural China: Problems, Reasons and Solutions. *Journal of Educational Studies*," Vol. 1, No. 8, pp. 90-96 [In Chinese].
- Papworth, B. A., (2014), "Attending boarding school: A longitudinal study of its role in students' academic and non-academic outcomes," Doctoral dissertation, University of Sydney, Australia.
- Postiglione, Gerard A., 2015, "Education and social change in China: Inequality in a market economy," Routledge.
- Rosenberg, M., 1965, "Society and the adolescent self-image," Princeton, NJ: Princeton University Press.
- Schmitt, David P. and Jüri Allik, 2005, "Simultaneous Administration of the Rosenberg Self-Esteem Scale in 53 Nations: Exploring the Universal and Culture-Specific Features of Global Self-Esteem," *Journal of Personality and Social Psychology*, Vol. 89, No. 4, pp. 623-642.
- Shen, Yanni, Yu Bai and Scott Rozelle, forthcoming, "The Effect of Being Left Behind on Children's Mental Health," REAP Working Paper.
- Shu, Binbin and Yuying Tong, 2015, "Boarding at School and Students' Well-Being: The Case of Rural China," Population Association of America 2015 Annual Meeting.
- Wang, Ting and Wen Li, 2009, "The nutrition of primary boarding students in poor rural China and its determinants," *Journal of Ningxia Teachers University*, Vol. 30, No. 2, pp. 118-122 [In Chinese].
- Wang, Xiaobing, Chengfang Liu, Linxiu Zhang et al., 2011, "What is keeping the poor out of college? Enrollment rates, educational barriers and college matriculation in China," *China Agricultural Economic Review*, Vol. 3, No. 2, pp. 131-149.
- Wechsler, David. "Wechsler intelligence scale for children—Fourth Edition (WISC-IV)." San Antonio, TX: The Psychological Corporation (2003).

- World Health Organization, 2006, "WHO child growth standards," Geneva, Switzerland: WHO.
- World Health Organization, 2009, "WHO AnthroPlus for personal computers manual: software for assessing growth of the world's children and adolescents," Geneva: WHO.
- Xu, Longshan, Baojun Pan, Jinxiang Lin, Liping Chen., Senhai Yu and Jack Jones, 2000, "Creating health-promoting schools in rural China: a project started from deworming," *Health Promotion International*, Vol. 15, No. 3, pp. 197-206.
- Ye, Yuan, 2000, "A Comparative Study on Mental Health State of the Rural and Urban Middle-school Students in Guizhou Province," Master thesis, Education Department of Guizhou Normal University, [In Chinese].
- Yuan, Guilin and Honglin Li, 2012, "The disadvantage and solving methods of the excessive modulation of number and distribution of rural school," *Battle of Social Science*, Vol. 5, 188-193 [In Chinese].
- Yue, Ai, Yaojiang Shi, Fang Chang et al., 2014, "Dormitory management and boarding students in China's rural primary schools," *China Agricultural Economic Review*, Vol. 6, No. 3, pp. 523-550.
- Zai, Yue and Ying Xuan, 2011, "Analysis on problems among life teachers in rural boarding schools," *Theory Learning*, No. 7, pp. 217-219 [In Chinese].
- Zhang, Linxiu, Max Kleiman-Weiner, Renfu Luo et al., 2013, "Multiple Micronutrient Supplementation Reduces Anemia and Anxiety in Rural China's Elementary School Children," *The Journal of Nutrition*, Vol. 143, No. 5, pp. 640-647.
- Zhang, Xinghui, Yajun Li, Qin Zhang, Furong Lu and Yun Wang, 2014, "Smoking and its risk factors in Chinese elementary and middle school students: A nationally representative sample study," *Addictive Behaviors*, Vol. 39, No. 5, pp. 837-841.
- Zhao, Z., 2011, "A matter of money? Policy analysis of rural boarding schools in China," *Education, Citizenship and Social Justice*, Vol. 6, No. 3, pp. 237-249.
- Zhou, B., 1991, "Mental health test," Shanghai: East China Normal University Press.
- Zhu, Xiaoming, Jiahu Hao, Yexun Liu, 2008, "Smoking and Drinking Behavior Among Rural Boarding School Students in Anhui Province," *Chinese Journal of School Health*, Vol. 29, No. 9, pp. 791-793 [In Chinese].

**Appendix Table 1. The sample size and of boarders and non-boarders**

(1) Outcomes	(2) All sample size	(3) Boarders Percentage (Sample size)	(4) Non-boarders Percentage (Sample size)
<b>Health and Nutrition</b>			
WAZ	2,174	26.1% (568)	73.9% (1606)
HAZ	2,174	26.1% (568)	73.9% (1606)
BMI	5,800	29.4%(1708)	70.6% (4092)
Anemia prevalence	25,954	29.4% (4244)	70.6% (21710)
STH infection rate	2,175	26.1% (568)	73.9% (1607)
<b>Education</b>			
Standardized Math test	24,644	19.3% (4747)	80.7% (19897)
Standardized Chinese test	7,075	6.49% (459)	93.51% (6616)
Working memory	2,175	26.1% (568)	73.9% (1607)
Processing speed	2,175	26.1% (568)	73.9% (1607)
<b>Mental health</b>			
MHT	14,635	27.4% (4005)	72.6% (10630)
Self-esteem	3,550	5.21% (185)	94.79% (3365)
Overall	37,181	17%	83%